

# National Register Review Board Vote Log

Survey & Registration: National Register section

2015 version

Name of Property \_\_\_\_\_

## PART I. Initial Review Comments:

Identification and historic narrative

\_\_\_\_\_ adequately defines and describes the property

\_\_\_\_\_ needs \_\_\_\_\_

Significance information

\_\_\_\_\_ adequately identifies and explains areas of significance

\_\_\_\_\_ needs \_\_\_\_\_

Boundary description and Justification

\_\_\_\_\_ adequately delineates the resource(s) to be nominated

\_\_\_\_\_ needs \_\_\_\_\_

Documentation

\_\_\_\_\_ includes adequate photos, maps, bibliography and other supporting documents

\_\_\_\_\_ needs \_\_\_\_\_

## PART II. Final Recommendations and Comments:

After formal consideration of the nomination proposal, it is my recommendation that:

\_\_\_\_\_ the property **be nominated** under NR Criteria

\_\_ **A** (Historic), \_\_ **B** (Person), \_\_ **C** (Architecture/Design), \_\_ **D** (Potential to yield information) at the  
\_\_ **Local**, \_\_ **State**, \_\_ **National** level(s) of significance.

\_\_\_\_\_ although the property appears to be eligible, the nomination proposal  
**should be revised and resubmitted** for review by the Board.

\_\_\_\_\_ **does not meet the eligibility criteria** due to

\_\_ lack of documented historically significant associations

\_\_ loss of historic integrity

**COMMENTS (use back if necessary):**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Printed name of Board Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Board Member/Date

