

Analysis and Report of Overvotes and Undervotes  
2020 General Election  
Pursuant to Section 101.595, Florida Statutes  
January 31, 2021

Addendum: March 3, 2023




Florida Department of State Division of Elections  
Room 316, R.A. Gray Building  
500 South Bronough Street  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0250

The following corrections are made to the **Analysis and Report of Overvotes and Undervotes 2020 General Election**:

- **Page 7** which includes **Chart 4** as it originally appeared in the report is replaced with the corrected page below. The narrative preceding the chart is corrected to state that only two (in lieu of five) of the five central count tabulators, ES&S’ EVS DS850 and Dominion Voting System’s Democracy Suite ICC, saw an increase in the overvote rate. Since the report is based on an analysis of the raw data submitted by the counties, a re-review shows the findings and conclusions in the report otherwise remain unchanged by the correction and still apply.


**Overvote and Undervote Rate By Tabulator (correction)**

The overvote rate increased from 2018 General Election across two of the central count tabulators used in the 2020 General Election. See **Chart 4** below. It should be noted that the ES&S DS450, DS850, and Democracy Suite ICC are used exclusively as central count tabulators. For this reason, the central count tabulators would see higher rates because they processed almost all of the vote-by-mail ballots and would tend to see more overvoted ballots. Many counties use the ES&S DS200 or the Democracy Suite ICE precinct tabulators as a central count tabulator for processing Vote-By-Mail ballots which in turn can also explain the higher overvote rates seen in the chart below.



- **Chart 5** as it appeared on page 8 in the original report is replaced with the corrected page below. The corrected chart shows a slightly lower undervote rate for 2018 than contained in the original chart. However, since the report is based on an analysis of the raw data submitted by the counties, a re-review shows that the narrative preceding and following Chart 5 on page 8, and the findings and conclusions remain unchanged by the correction and still apply.

In contrast, the undervote rate by tabulator for the 2020 election decreased since 2018. All five of the tabulators used in 2020 had their lowest undervote rates recorded in the last decade. See **Chart 5** below.



The method of casting a vote is a consistently recurring factor in the overvote and undervote rates. See **Charts 2 and 3** (mentioned earlier in the report) for an overview of the overvote and undervote rates for the 2020 Presidential contest compared to data collected from previous elections. **Chart 6** below shows the undervotes and overvotes by voting method for 2020. As reported in previous reports, vote-by-mail continues to garner the most undervotes and overvotes. In-person voting provides voters with feedback on their ballot to reduce the number of undervotes and overvotes that are cast, whereas voters who choose to cast their ballot by mail do not have that mechanism available to them.