## Friends of the State Library and Archives of Florida

## Research Stipend Program - Application Scoring Rubric

The applicant has consulted with State Archives and/or State Library staff.

All of the following criteria must be met before the application will be considered.

The applicant is eligible to apply.

**Total Points:** 

Applicant Name:

Project Title:

**Eligibility** 

|        |      | The application was received by the deadline.  |           |
|--------|------|--|-----------|
|        |      | The application contains ALL required components, including:   |           |
|        |      | <ol> <li>Completed, signed application form</li> <li>Current résumé or curriculum vitae</li> <li>Letter of interest</li> <li>Letter(s) of reference</li> </ol> |           |
| Evalua | tion |  |           |
| A.     | The  | project is feasible based on availability of materials at the State Archives and/or State Library  | <b>7.</b> |
|        | 5    | Exceptional amount of collection materials support the project.  |           |
|        | 4    | Substantial amount of collection materials support the project.  |           |
|        | 3    | Adequate amount of collection materials support the project  |           |
|        | 2    | Limited amount of collection materials support the project.  |           |
|        | 1    | Minimal amount of collection materials support the project.  |           |
|        | 0    | Insufficient amount of collection materials support the project.   |           |
| В.     | Wha  | at kind of use of unique State Archives/State Library collections does the project propose?  |           |
|        | 5    | Exceptionally innovative use of collections.   |           |
|        | 4    | Highly innovative use of collections.  |           |
|        | 3    | Innovative use of collections.   |           |
|        | 2    | Above routine use of collections.  |           |
|        | 1    | Routine use of collections.  |           |
|        | 0    | Unremarkable use of collections.   |           |

| C. | How likely is the project to substantially increase or enhance the understanding of some aspect of |
|----|--|
|    | Florida history and culture or of a Florida community or region.                                   |

| 5 | Exceptionally likely to increase or enhance understanding of Florida.    |  |
|---|--|--|
| 4 | Highly likely to increase or enhance understanding of Florida.           |  |
| 3 | Likely to increase or enhance understanding of Florida.                  |  |
| 2 | Somewhat likely to increase or enhance understanding of Florida.         |  |
| 1 | Has the possibility of increasing or enhancing understanding of Florida. |  |
| 0 | Unlikely to increase or enhance understanding of Florida.                |  |

D. Letter of Interest is well written, well organized, and makes a persuasive case for the stipend.

| 5 | Exceptionally well written, well organized and persuasive. |  |
|---|--|--|
| 4 | Very well written, well organized and persuasive.          |  |
| 3 | Well written, well organized and persuasive.               |  |
| 2 | Adequately written, organized and persuasive.              |  |
| 1 | Not well written, well organized or persuasive.            |  |
| 0 | Poorly written or organized and unpersuasive.              |  |

E. Letter(s) of Reference is/are detailed and persuasive regarding applicant's familiarity with project subject matter, understanding of historical research, and ability to complete the project.

| 5 | Exceptionally detailed and persuasive.   |  |
|---|--|--|
| 4 | 4 Substantially detailed and persuasive. |  |
| 3 | Adequately detailed and persuasive.      |  |
| 2 | Limited detail and persuasiveness.       |  |
| 1 | Minimal detail and persuasiveness.       |  |
| 0 | Insufficient detail and persuasiveness.  |  |

General comments: